**Cr.MMO No. 50 of 2007**

07.9.2007 Present: Mr. Ankush Dass Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. R.L.Sood, Senior Advocate with Mr. Vikas Rajput and Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Advocates, for the respondents.

After hearing detailed arguments of the learned counsel for the parties, I feel fully satisfied that the order dated 13th November, 2006, which has been impugned in this petition, as passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Paonta Sahib, closing the evidence of the petitioner-complainant except with respect to PW Gopi Chand does not suffer any illegality or irregularity because undoubtedly before the passing of this order, sufficient opportunities had been given to the petitioner to adduce its evidence and despite these opportunities the petitioner had not taken steps required and expected of it.

Mr. R.L.Sood, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the respondents has also drawn my attention to two orders earlier passed by this Court, being order dated 2nd March, 2007 in Criminal Revision No. 7 of 2007 and the order dated 24th May, 2007 in Cr. M.P. No. 213 of 2007 in the aforesaid Criminal Revision No. 7 of 2007. Mr. R.L.Sood has also submitted that on 28th June, 2007, the learned trial Magistrate after recording the statement of PW Gopi Chand had closed the evidence of the petitioner-complainant. According to Mr. R.L.Sood, in view of the aforesaid orders, there is no warrant for any interference by this Court at this stage. I agree with his submission.

The petition is dismissed.

**Cr.M.P. No. 286 of 2007.**

Infructuous.

September 7, 2007 (V.K.Gupta), C.J.
(ks)